

1 **BEFORE THE ARIZONA MEDICAL BOARD**

2 In the Matter of

3 **WILLIAM M. COCHRAN, M.D.**

4 Holder of License No. **15469**  
5 For the Practice of Allopathic Medicine  
6 In the State of Arizona.

Board Case No. MD-07-0985A

**FINDINGS OF FACT,  
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER**

(Decree of Censure)

7 The Arizona Medical Board ("Board") considered this matter at its public meeting  
8 on August 7, 2008. William Cochran, M.D., ("Respondent") appeared before the Board  
9 with legal counsel, Calvin Raup, for a formal interview pursuant to the authority vested  
10 in the Board by A.R.S. § 32-1451(H). The Board voted to issue Findings of Fact,  
11 Conclusions of Law and Order after due consideration of the facts and law applicable to  
12 this matter.

13 **FINDINGS OF FACT**

14 1. The Board is the duly constituted authority for the regulation and control of  
15 the practice of allopathic medicine in the State of Arizona.

16 2. Respondent is the holder of License No. 15469 for the practice of  
17 allopathic medicine in the State of Arizona.

18 3. The Board initiated case number MD-07-0985A after Board Staff  
19 conducted a pharmacy survey and chart review as a result of Respondent's request that  
20 the Board lift the pain management restriction from his 2003 Order ("Order").

21 4. Effective June 11, 2003, Respondent entered into a Consent Agreement  
22 resulting in an Order for Decree of Censure, Restriction and Probation for inappropriate  
23 prescribing of controlled substances and prescription-only medications. The Order  
24 prohibited Respondent from practicing pain management until applying for and receiving  
25 approval from the Board. Additionally, Respondent was restricted in the manner and

1 circumstances of his treatment of chemically dependent patients who require Schedule  
2 II and III controlled substances.

3         5. On March 4, 2007, Respondent requested the Board lift the restriction  
4 prohibiting him from practicing pain management. Following Respondent's request,  
5 Staff conducted a pharmacy survey. Based upon that survey, Staff reviewed multiple  
6 patient charts that revealed Respondent continuously prescribed opioids for chronic  
7 pain patients subsequent to and in violation of the June 11, 2003 Order. Specifically,  
8 from April 2006 through October 2006, Respondent prescribed opioids for chronic pain  
9 to five patients, including JF and HJ. Additionally, on November 20, 2006 and  
10 December 13, 2006, Respondent telephoned in a Hydrocodone (an opioid) prescription  
11 to a pharmacy for ZE, who was a chemically dependent patient seen by Respondent at  
12 a substance abuse treatment center. This is a violation of the Order requiring that  
13 schedule II and III prescriptions written by Respondent for chemically dependent  
14 patients be filled by the pharmacy at the treating clinic.

15                                   **PATIENT JF**

16         6. Respondent began treating JF in November 2005 for chronic pain with  
17 opioid prescriptions, including Tylenol with Codeine (an acetaminophen), Darvocet N-  
18 100, codeine sulfate, Hydrocodone and transdermal Fentanyl. There was no rationale  
19 in JF's record indicating Respondent's reasons for prescribing the excessive amounts of  
20 medications, which included eighty-eight days of 8000mg/day of an acetaminophen  
21 (double the recommended dosage). There also was no indication that Respondent  
22 monitored JF's liver functioning, which is recommended when prescribing  
23 acetaminophen.

24         7. On April 13, 2006, Respondent noted in the chart that JF understood he  
25 was under a practice restriction and he arranged for her treatment with another

1 physician. However, Respondent continued to prescribe opioids on April 13, 2006, May  
2 17, 2006, June 13, 2006, July 6, 2006 and July 31, 2006, which included early refills.

3 8. The standard of care requires a physician to avoid excessive doses of  
4 acetaminophen containing products. If there is a compelling medical reason to  
5 prescribe in excess of the recommended dosages, the reasons should be documented  
6 and monitoring the patient's liver function should be performed.

7 9. Respondent deviated from the standard of care because he prescribed  
8 excessive doses of acetaminophen without adequate rationale or appropriate  
9 monitoring of JF's liver function.

10 10. Respondent's excessive prescribing could have led to hepatotoxicity and  
11 irreversible liver damage.

#### 12 PATIENT HJ

13 11. Respondent initially evaluated an eighty-five year-old female patient ("HJ")  
14 on May 23, 2006 for various complaints, including dementia and recent left forearm  
15 fracture. During that visit, Respondent assumed prescribing HJ's chronic medications,  
16 which included Hydrocodone and her psychoactive medications of Aricept and  
17 Lorazepam. Respondent also added Risperdal, another antipsychotic medication,  
18 without any evidence to support his reasons for doing so.

19 12. On July 6, 2006, Respondent saw HJ for a follow up visit. HJ's caregiver  
20 complained that the Hydrocodone was not effective; therefore, Respondent prescribed  
21 Percocet (an opioid) and replaced the Risperdal with Haloperidol for problems of  
22 agitation, confusion and delusions.

23 13. From July 24, 2006 through November 30, 2006, Respondent continually  
24 prescribed or allowed renewals for Hydrocodone or Percocet. At the same time he  
25 prescribed the opioids, Respondent also prescribed antipsychotic medications.

1 Additionally, Respondent added medication for insomnia, but discontinued the  
2 medication due to hypersomnolence. Respondent also added Rozerem, a  
3 sedative/hypnotic and Lexapro, an anti-depressant to HJ's medication regime.  
4 Additionally, there were no documented instructions in the record indicating Respondent  
5 provided HJ with instructions regarding ongoing use of the Rozerem, Lexapro,  
6 Lorazepam and Percocet all with known central nervous system depressant effects,  
7 which are more pronounced in the elderly; however, Respondent testified that HJ was  
8 completely uncommunicative.

9       14. The standard of care requires a physician to rationally manage medication  
10 in an elderly patient with dementia and take into account drug interactions,  
11 enhancement of central nervous system depressant effects, the patient's age, weight  
12 and nutritional status and to consult with a psychiatrist when multiple psychiatric  
13 diagnoses and/or psychoactive medications are involved.

14       15. Respondent deviated from the standard of care because he  
15 simultaneously prescribed to HJ opioid and multiple psychoactive medications with  
16 additive central nervous system depressant effects, without consulting a psychiatrist.

17       16. Respondent's inappropriate prescribing led to two reported episodes of  
18 medication induced hypersomnolence and could have led to respiratory depression,  
19 aspiration, brain damage and death.

#### 20                                   **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

21       1. The Board possesses jurisdiction over the subject matter hereof and over  
22 Respondent.

23       2. The conduct and circumstances described above constitute  
24 unprofessional conduct pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1401(27)(q) ("[a]ny conduct or practice  
25 that is or might be harmful or dangerous to the health of the patient or the public.") and

1 A.R.S. § 32-1401 (27)(r) (“[v]iolating a formal order, probation, consent agreement or  
2 stipulation issued or entered into by the board or its executive director under the  
3 provisions of this chapter”).

4 **ORDER**

5 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:**

6 1. Respondent is issued a Decree of Censure for excessive prescribing of  
7 acetaminophen without adequate rationale or appropriate monitoring, for prescribing  
8 opioid and multiple psychoactive medications to an elderly patient resulting in medication  
9 induced hypersomnolence and for violating a Board Order.

10 2. Respondent is placed on probation for **ten years** with the following terms  
11 and conditions:

12 A. **Respondent shall not practice chronic pain management that**  
13 **includes prescribing controlled substance medications for any ongoing chronic**  
14 **pain condition.** Respondent’s allowed controlled substance prescribing is limited to  
15 management of acute pain to include not more than a 30 day prescription of opioids  
16 with no refills or renewals.

17 i. The Board may require any combination of Staff approved  
18 psychiatric and/or psychological evaluations or successful passage  
19 of the Special Purpose licensing Examination or other competency  
20 examination/evaluation or interview it finds necessary to assist it in  
21 determining Respondent’s ability to safely and competently return  
22 to practicing chronic pain management that includes prescribing  
23 controlled substance medications for any ongoing chronic pain  
24 condition.  
25

- 1                   ii.     After five years, Respondent may apply to the Board to request the  
2                   practice restriction be lifted.

3                   B.     Chart Reviews

4                   Board Staff or its agents shall conduct quarterly chart reviews. Based upon the  
5 chart review, the Board retains jurisdiction to take additional disciplinary or remedial  
6 action.

7                   C.     Obey All Laws

8                   Respondent shall obey all state, federal and local laws, all rules governing the  
9 practice of medicine in Arizona, and remain in full compliance with any court order  
10 criminal probation, payments and other orders.

11                  D.     Tolling

12                  In the event Respondent should leave Arizona to reside or practice outside the  
13 state or for any reason should Respondent stop practicing medicine in Arizona,  
14 Respondent shall notify the Executive Director in writing within ten days of departure  
15 and return or the dates of non-practice within Arizona. Non-practice is defined as any  
16 period of time exceeding thirty days during which Respondent is not engaging in the  
17 practice of medicine. Periods of temporary or permanent residence or practice outside  
18 Arizona or of non-practice within Arizona, will not apply to the reduction of the  
19 probationary period.

20                  E.     Quarterly Declarations

21                  Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty or perjury on forms  
22 provided by the Board stating whether there has been compliance with all the  
23 conditions of probation. The declarations must be submitted on or before the 15th of  
24 March, June, September and December of each year, beginning on or before June,  
25 2008.

1           3.       This Order is the final disposition of case number MD-07-0985A.

2                               **RIGHT TO PETITION FOR REHEARING OR REVIEW**

3           Respondent is hereby notified that he has the right to petition for a rehearing or  
4 review. The petition for rehearing or review must be filed with the Board's Executive  
5 Director within thirty (30) days after service of this Order. A.R.S. § 41-1092.09(B). The  
6 petition for rehearing or review must set forth legally sufficient reasons for granting a  
7 rehearing or review. A.A.C. R4-16-103. Service of this order is effective five (5) days  
8 after date of mailing. A.R.S. § 41-1092.09(C). If a petition for rehearing or review is not  
9 filed, the Board's Order becomes effective thirty-five (35) days after it is mailed to  
10 Respondent.

11           Respondent is further notified that the filing of a motion for rehearing or review is  
12 required to preserve any rights of appeal to the Superior Court.

13           DATED this 4th day of December, 2008.



THE ARIZONA MEDICAL BOARD

20

21

22

23

24

25

By 

LISA S. WYNN  
Executive Director


ORIGINAL of the foregoing filed this  
4th day of December, 2008 with:

Arizona Medical Board  
9545 East Doubletree Ranch Road  
Scottsdale, Arizona 85258

Executed copy of the foregoing  
mailed by U.S. Mail this  
4th day of December, 2008, to:

1 Calvin L. Raup, Esq.  
2 RAUP & HERGENROETHER  
3 Two North Central Avenue  
Phoenix, Arizona 85004-0001

4 William M. Cochran, M.D.  
5 Address of Record

6   
7 #322999

8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25